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Summary

In recent years diaspora communities have started to play a more active role in the policies of both their countries of origin and their countries of residence. The rapid increase in working migrants, the desire of retired migrants to return and to live in their countries of origin, and the wish of many migrants to be able to take a more active role in the political life of their host countries as well as in their countries of origin, represent new challenges in many policy sectors.

This report provides analysis of existing national policies and international initiatives supportive of diasporas’ involvement in political life and makes recommendations to improve the democratic participation of diaspora in Europe.

It calls on the governments of European countries to play a key role in engaging diaspora in decision-making policies, and to develop collaboration between governmental institutions in drafting diaspora-oriented programmes to ensure economic, social and cultural development.

---
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A. Draft resolution²

1. Diaspora play a more prominent role in today's politics than in the past. The members of diaspora can build bridges between States and help to promote democracy both in their countries of residence and in their countries of origin.

2. The Assembly believes that diaspora’s right to democratic participation is a fundamental condition for their political integration. Regrettably, most European countries still deprive migrants of the right to vote despite their advanced policies of integration. Unless the migrants and local residents of all backgrounds are given the right to vote, at least in the local elections, progress of integration will remain incomplete.

3. The Assembly considers that allowing for dual citizenship or introducing a special legal status for nationals abroad in their countries of origin would encourage their constructive involvement in policy shaping in their home countries. However, the dual citizenship status should not be abused for promoting expansionist policies and violating the sovereignty of other states.

4. Furthermore, the Assembly encourages coordination between the governments of the countries of residence and the countries of origin in addressing the issues of political status and participation in local, regional, and national elections of people holding double nationality.

5. The Assembly believes that governments, both in host countries and countries of origin have to play a key role in engaging diaspora in decision-making policies, developing collaboration between governmental institutions and formulating recommendations in drafting diaspora-oriented programmes to ensure economic, social and cultural development.

6. The Assembly welcomes the role of diaspora associations in helping migrants with their integration into the host society.

7. The Assembly is especially concerned with the rise of racism and xenophobia in Europe and stresses the role that diaspora policies can play in fighting these extremist tendencies.

8. The media has a major role to play in countering the binary stereotypes of migrants as victims or criminals. Television, newspapers and electronic media should give them genuine opportunities to portray their economic and intellectual potential which benefits both their country of residence and their country of origin.

9. With the aim of improving the democratic participation of diaspora in Europe, the Assembly calls on Council of Europe member States to:

   9.1. ensure the effective exercise of the voting rights of members of diaspora, and in particular;

      9.1.1. sign and ratify the Convention on the Participation of Foreigners in Public Life at Local Level if they have not already done it;

      9.1.2. introduce the right to vote and to stand in local and regional elections for foreigners after a maximum residence period of five years;

      9.1.3. simplify the electoral legislation with regard to external voting, including the extension of proxy, postal and e-voting to diaspora members and introduce effective measures aimed at ensuring the exercise of the right to an external vote by those concerned;

      9.1.4. establish bilateral committees composed of lawmakers from the country of residence and the country of origin to examine complex cases relating to the dual citizen's active political participation in more than one country;

² Draft resolution adopted by the Committee on 27 November 2014.
9.2. introduce policies which would facilitate diaspora involvement in political life, and in particular:

9.2.1. review national legislation with a view to according a special status to members of diaspora in their countries of origin, allowing a residence and work permit, and facilitating the return process where appropriate;

9.2.2. promote the diaspora members’ contribution to the development of their country of origin by, inter alia, transfer of their knowledge, experience and education;

9.2.3. coordinate the integration policies of host countries with the diaspora related programme of countries of origin with a view to achieving a bigger impact;

9.2.4. ensure policy coherence between measures targeting diaspora abroad and migrant diaspora in the host countries;

9.2.5. create specific ministries or intergovernmental structures to deal with diaspora policy;

9.2.6. actively involve members of diaspora organisations in the elaboration of integration programmes for migrants;

9.2.7. in regions with settled diasporas, elaborate strategies of cooperation with diaspora at the local level;

9.2.8. ensure that diplomatic representations include staff trained to deal with issues of concern for diaspora;

9.3. promote the activities of diaspora organisations;

9.3.1. supporting the initiatives of diaspora organisations through specific budgetary programmes;

9.3.2. encourage political parties to involve diaspora members in their activities;

9.3.3. encourage the use of new communication technologies with a view to reinforcing links between different diaspora organisations;

9.3.4. create a database on the diaspora organisations’ activities and networks.

10. The Assembly invites international organisations, in particular the United Nations, the United Nations Education, Science and Culture Organisation (UNESCO), the International Organisation for Migration (IOM), the Interparliamentary Union (IPU), the Organisation on Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) and the European Union to promote and protect the cultural and political rights of diaspora and to support projects aimed at the democratic participation of diaspora.

11. The Assembly considers that it could act as a platform for developing a parliamentary dialogue on diaspora participation by proposing the creation of a Parliamentary Network on Diaspora Policies.
B. Explanatory memorandum by Mr Andrea Rigoni, Rapporteur

1. Introduction

1. Over the last centuries, different waves of migration have led to the settlement of migrant diasporas in many European countries. In recent decades, these diaspora communities have started to play a more active role in the policies of both their countries of origin and their countries of residence. The rapid increase in working migration, the desire of retired migrants to return and to live in their countries of origin, and the wish of many migrants to be able to take a more active part in the political life of their host countries as well as in their countries of origin, represent new challenges in many policy sectors.

2. The Parliamentary Assembly has been dealing with diasporas, settled in Europe, mostly from a cultural and political perspective. In 1999, it adopted Recommendation 1410 (1999) on links between Europeans living abroad and their countries of origin, and in 2009, Resolution 1696(2009) and Recommendation 1890(2009) on Engaging European diasporas: the need for governmental and intergovernmental responses. In these documents the Assembly underlined the need for greater political participation of migrants, which would enhance their capacity to promote and transfer democratic values. The PACE also called on its member states to elaborate migration policies which promote an institutional role for diasporas.

3. In the present report, I am aiming at a deeper analysis of existing national policies and international initiatives supportive of diasporas’ involvement in political life.

1.1. Definition of diaspora

4. The classical definition of the diaspora as a scattered group of people uprooted from their original land is no longer valid. In the modern context, the diaspora is any group of migrants integrated within the host society, mainly in Europe and the United States, who choose to maintain strong attachment to their original cultures and countries. That attachment is not merely symbolic or cultural, but developed over the past decades to include strong economic and political ties.

5. In this report, I would like to use the definition of diaspora given by Gerard-François Dumont, which seems to me the most complete one. He defines diaspora as “a community of individuals living together on the same territory and having in common the conviction or belief of belonging, themselves or their families to another territory with which they maintain regular relations.” Obviously, such categories as tourists and short-term visitors clearly do not fall under this definition of diaspora.

6. It is also important to make a distinction between a cultural and political approach to diaspora. The former seeks to advance the cultural rights of the diaspora groups regardless their political participation. The latter, emphasises their political rights and obligations. I will focus on this aspect.

7. For today's migrant diasporas, getting involved in the life of their community of origin is a choice. Immigrants can, in many cases, acquired citizenship of their country of residence and do not have to be inevitably linked to a minority group anymore.

8. These links with the country of origin are facilitated by the globalisation process, which facilitates the circulation of information, goods and services. It became much easier for people to travel abroad and to maintain ties to their families. This phenomenon of “diasporisation” of migration opens new opportunities for migrants and the countries to which they are attached.

9. However, I would be opposed to the policies which divide diaspora into two categories, as it is done in Serbia by the “Law on Diaspora and Serbs in the Region”. Such an approach to the diaspora issue could be counterproductive towards the integration of Serbs living in neighbouring countries, giving rise to possible tensions. In the case of second generation diaspora, such policies could lead to social conflicts within families.
1.2. Diaspora as a bridge between migrants, countries of residence and their countries of origin

10. Diasporas should neither be reduced to the country of residence nor to the country of origin. Rather, the diaspora is a third identity that exists in-between and feeds on the traffic, real or imaginative, between the two countries. In many cases, the diaspora establishes that traffic, creating a spontaneous medium that brings countries together culturally, economically, and politically.

11. The diaspora is a cultural, economic, and political bridge between the country of residence and the country of origin. Through such channels as literature, the arts, media, and sports, the diaspora brings together two worlds into a dynamic relationship.

12. Bilingualism of diaspora members should be seen as an asset in the globalised world economy. Therefore it should be promoted.

13. Diasporas have a longstanding tradition of economic support toward people living in the country of origin. Remittances sent by diaspora members often play a major role in certain national economies of countries of origin and help them to be competitive in international trade relations. But what is new is that increased activity of diaspora may cause a questioning of existing relations between states, and thus contribute to strengthening contracts between countries of origin and residence.

14. Furthermore, as a potential force of reconciliation, the diaspora may transform the tensions of the past into future opportunities for cooperation between the country of residence and the country of origin. Its cultural specificities are proven to enrich, rather than undermine, the progress of modern societies.

1.3. The role of diaspora in democratic change

15. Diasporas also contribute to the shattering of pre-existing negative stereotypes held in either the origin or destination countries. In fact, the diaspora is a reconciling force which helps us overcome the political traumas of the past. In the context of Maghreb countries, the diaspora transformed people’s image of Europe from French or Spanish colonial power into that of a modern and democratic Europe full of opportunities for individual success and economic prosperity.

16. Those diaspora communities in the world which live in democratic countries are usually eager to promote in their countries of origin the values they consider positive in their country of residence. More generally, migrants in their majority are carriers of universal values, creating a plural discourse, which promotes peace and dialogue between diverse civilisations and traditions.

17. In the last two decades, diaspora became one of the driving forces in bringing democratic experience to their countries of origin. Diaspora organisations were very active in establishing and developing civil society in many Central and Eastern European countries. They contributed a lot to the nation-building process. In Latvia, Lithuania and Georgia, to take a few examples, the representatives of returned diaspora actively participated in the political leadership of these countries.

18. Members of diaspora visiting their countries of origin expect the same civil treatment they enjoy in their countries of residence: transparency, accountability, gender equality, equal opportunity, and fair justice. Their exposure to democratic values turns them into advocates for democracy and human rights. Their political and social participation in their communities of origin also lead them to fight discrimination and economic disparities.

2. The right to vote for diaspora’s members

19. Political participation of diaspora remains a striking issue for its members. Generally speaking, the diaspora groups should be allowed to participate in any electoral system that affects their daily lives.

20. A distinction needs to be made between those members of the diaspora who while being legal migrants, do not hold a citizenship of the host country, and those who have double citizenship of the
host country and the country of origin. While citizens can obviously vote in a host country, not all legal migrants have this right.

21. Allowing citizens abroad to vote in their country of origin is important for two reasons. First, we cannot forget that diasporas participate actively in the well-being of people still living in the country of origin. The Moroccan diaspora, for example, is present in more than 100 countries. It is closely tied to its country of origin and has developed a robust financial bridge between countries of residence and Morocco (according to certain estimates, Moroccan remittances are among the most important in the world). Secondly, enabling citizens to vote from abroad is not only a matter of equality between resident citizens and non-resident citizens, but also between country citizens living abroad in general. Indeed, wealthy non-resident citizens can benefit from formal and informal channels to bring their concerns to the political scene, and therefore have an impact on the decision-making process, whereas less wealthy citizens living abroad are deprived of their only way to weigh in on politics in their country of origin.

2.1 Diaspora voting in the countries of origin

22. Despite the fact that the extension of voting rights in the country of origin to diaspora should logically stem from the citizenship status of individuals living abroad, allowing external voting remains the prerogative of each state.

23. Most of the Council of Europe member states allow their citizens to exercise their voting rights from outside the territory of their country of origin.

24. Two issues are at stake when it comes to the question of external voting: the right to vote and to be elected in different types of elections, and the modalities of voting from abroad (see Appendix 1).

25. The right to vote and to be elected is essential in ensuring democratic participation of diaspora members. Most European countries allow for the participation of their citizens in external voting, but some countries impose restrictions related to the length of staying abroad or activity-related. However, there are countries such as Ireland, where only people carrying out official missions of diplomatic or military nature can vote abroad. In some other countries there are restrictions with regards to the length of stay abroad; beyond the limit, citizens lose their voting rights. In Germany it is 25 years and in the UK 15 years.

26. In practice, the participation in external voting can be hindered by bureaucratic and legal requirements related to the registration of voters or voting procedure. Thus, the registration of voters is often done by diplomatic missions, which might cover very big region of potential voters. Due to financial and logistical problems voters may not always be able to travel to diplomatic missions situated in other cities. Such difficulties could be easily overcome by wider introduction of postal and electronic registration and voting.

27. The European states use four main voting forms: in-person, postal, proxy and electronic vote (e-voting). However, a majority of countries are making use of in-person voting (Albania, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Finland, Georgia, Hungary, Iceland, Moldova, Monaco, Montenegro, Romania, Russia, Serbia, the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Ukraine), meaning that citizens cast a vote at polling stations, which are generally located in embassies. The second most common form is postal voting. It is practiced in Austria, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Slovak Republic and Spain. Proxy voting is used in the Netherlands, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. Only three countries of the Council of Europe use e-voting (Estonia, France and Switzerland). Several countries allow for more than one form of voting: in-person and postal voting (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Denmark, Latvia, Lithuania, Norway, Portugal, and Slovenia), postal and proxy voting (the Netherlands and the United Kingdom) or more than two forms (Belgium, Estonia, France, Poland, Sweden and Switzerland). Finally, Albania, Andorra, Armenia, Greece, Malta, San Marino still does not allow external voting. The choice of a form of voting is crucial: it is proven, that in-person voting will favour citizens living in capital cities, and proxy voting (including postal and e-voting) will be avoided by people abroad as there is no real guarantee of the respect of their will. Direct voting (including postal and e-voting is the most effective way to ensure fair political participation for non-resident citizens.

28. The types of elections, in which non-resident citizens are entitled to vote, also differ widely among countries. For example, Germany allows its citizens to vote in legislative elections only, whereas French citizens may vote in presidential, legislative elections as well as in referendums.

29. Denmark, Ireland, Cyprus, Malta and the United Kingdom prevent their citizens from taking part in national or regional elections, once they leave their home country. The recent Eurobarometer survey on electoral rights has shown that almost two third of Europeans would not consider such legislative provisions as justified. To tackle this problem, the European Commission issued guidance to the Member States, which still use disenfranchisement practice. It invites the relevant Member States to enable their citizens living abroad to retain their right to vote in national elections if they show an interest in the political life of their country, for example by applying to remain on the electoral roll.


31. The introduction of e-voting as additional form of casting the votes can significantly facilitate participation of diaspora in elections. The practice of remote e-voting by Estonian voters abroad has shown that it reduces transaction costs and enhances efficiency in the voting process, but has not really increased a turn out. The governments of the Council of Europe member states could address the issue of e-voting, as it can affect external voting by making it cheaper and more accessible.

32. Some European countries offer possibility to their diaspora members to represent their interests in national parliaments. Since 1948, France has given a right to its diaspora members to elect 12 senators. And since 2012, diaspora has also been represented in the National Assembly. In my country, the members of Italian diaspora have possibility to elect by mail 12 representatives in the national parliament and 6 senators to represent their interests. The positive practice of Croatia, France, Italy, Portugal and Romania should be followed by other European states.

2.2 Diaspora voting in the countries of residence

33. Among Council of Europe member states, the situation regarding the right of foreigners to vote is diverse. For legislative and presidential elections, almost without exception, it is only citizens that can vote. At the local level, the situation is different and many countries give the right to vote to foreigners legally residing on their territory after a certain period, e.g. 5 years. Indeed, the Council of Europe’s Convention on the Participation of Foreigners in Public Life at Local level includes this as one of its standards. Some countries are still reluctant to allow foreigners to vote.

34. In the European Union, all EU citizens have the right to vote and to stand as candidates in local and European elections in their country of residence on the same basis as nationals. Still, 13 EU countries do not extend this right to national or regional elections.

35. Disparities are still significant between the member states, in particular at a local level. France, for instance, does not allow non-European Union foreigners to vote, even at local level. The debate over the issue on the voting rights for foreigners has lasted for 30 years and is still profoundly divisive for French society. In Belgium, Germany, Ireland and Sweden non-European Union citizens may cast their ballots at the local level.

36. Moreover, the right of foreigners to vote in national or local elections also involves the issue of states’ bilateral relations, since many countries allow only non-EU foreigners to vote if they are coming
from a country where their own citizens are allowed to cast a ballot; for instance, Portugal allows non-

37. Classifications of migrants according to their origins should not be relevant when it comes to the question of voting rights for foreigners. Unfortunately, I should stress that it remains often the only criterion on which the right to vote for foreigners is based.

38. Most countries in the world, including the European Union, still deprive foreigners of the right to vote despite their advanced policies of integration. Unless the migrants are given the right to vote, at least in the local elections, any process of integration will remain incomplete.

39. Granting voting rights to migrants in the country of residence will protect them to a certain extent against the racial stereotypes to which they are subjected in the political campaigns. As a political group, the migrant diasporas will be attractive to the standing political parties instead of being used as scapegoats to lure racist and populist votes.

40. The implementation of the right to vote and to be elected for diaspora in the countries of residence enables diaspora members to take part in decision making process on the issues related to their day-to-day life and to make them responsible members of society.

2.3 \textit{Barriers to diaspora involvement in voting}

41. The barriers to diaspora involvement are several: they face numerous obstacles to participate politically in both their country of origin and in their country of residence.

42. Even if the countries of origin enable their citizens abroad to vote, legal provisions to allow external voting to take place are often lacking. The means available (in-person, postal, proxy) do not guarantee equal access to ballots for resident citizens and non-resident citizens, and thus lead to abstention, justifying positions against the extension of external voting. Moreover, the number of elections in which non-resident citizens can vote is limited.

43. For the countries with considerable number of citizens living abroad (e.g. Armenia, Russia, Turkey) the participation of diaspora in national elections could be crucial for the results of the election. This may partly explain reluctance of these countries to introduce external voting into their legislation.

44. Diaspora voting cannot be considered in abstraction from financial and organisational aspects of the electoral process. It needs serious financial and human resources investments and often linked to logistical difficulties. Moreover, for the countries which face problems in organisation of elections on their territory, it might be even more difficult to ensure secure electoral process abroad, especially in the countries with important diaspora representation.\footnote{Voting from Abroad, Chapter 3. The legal framework and an overview of electoral legislation, by Dieter Nohlen and Florian Grotz, International IDEA/IFE, 2007, p. 73.}

45. The lack of democratic institutions in the country of origin is the biggest obstacle to the diaspora’s involvement in politics.

46. Xenophobia is another common reason why members of diaspora communities prefer to abandon the political field and view it as a source of trouble rather than social empowerment.

47. Political parties usually do not offer special programmes to attract voters and candidates among diaspora communities.

48. All these problems have to be taken into consideration in order to improve political participation for migrant diasporas.
2.4 Case studies of diaspora voting

2.4.1 Serbia

49. The right of Serbian diaspora to participate in national elections was instituted in 2004. Since then, three elections have taken place. Diaspora members can vote in the diplomatic missions or in Serbia.

50. During the last elections, out of 4 million Serbian people living abroad, only 6,800 people registered to vote. This low turnout of diaspora in the elections can be explained by political and procedural reasons. The policies of previous Serbian authorities which had been hostile to diaspora—as diaspora represented political opposition—discouraged their involvement in the political life of Serbia. The Serbs living in neighbouring countries were target of attempts of instrumentalisation by politicians in Serbia and were often marginalised in the countries where they live.

51. As regards the procedural reasons, the main problems were an insufficient number of polling stations the requirement for voters to register for the voting twenty days before the elections; and, vote in person at the voting station, which in practice made people travel twice to the polling station, once for the registration and the second time for the voting itself. As a result, out of 6,800 registered diaspora voters only 4,826 had voted.

52. I think that these political and procedural reasons, which hampered Serbian diaspora’s active participation in the elections, need thorough analysis by the Serbian authorities. They may wish to intensify their work on establishing much closer communication with Serbian diaspora involve them in the preparation of elections and simplify voting procedures.

53. As regards the political participation of diaspora in Serbia itself, I welcome the intention of the Serbian Parliament to reserve seats in the Parliament to the representatives of minorities.

2.4.2 Turkey

54. On 10th August this year Turkey had its Presidential elections, and for the first time Turkish diaspora was allowed to register to vote abroad. In Europe, there are around 4 million Turkish citizens, out of which 3 million live in Germany. The Netherlands, France, Belgium and Austria also have a large number of Turkish migrants. During previous elections, the Turkish diaspora were only permitted to vote at the border controls of Turkey, but after the adoption in 2012 of the amendment to the law on elections, 103 polling stations were opened in 54 countries. Taking into account over 52 million eligible voters in Turkey, the diaspora vote matters for political parties since it makes up some five percent of the total.

55. The main concern that Turkey had in the preparation of elections was a certain resistance of the countries of residence to cooperate in the organisation of the voting process. It concerned first of all Germany, where due to a great number of voters, the voting process had to take place over several days and required important human and financial resources.

56. The results of the Presidential elections have shown that out of almost 2.8 million Turkish diaspora eligible for voting, only 232,000 actually voted. The main reasons for the low turnout were procedural and logistical problems.

57. Some voters faced travelling long distances to reach the voting centres. In Germany, which, as mentioned hosts nearly 3 million Turks, there were only seven polling stations and voters were sometimes forced to travel hundreds of kilometres to cast their vote.

58. Furthermore, Turkish citizens were required to get an appointment prior to the election period from embassies in their countries of residence, so as to register as voters. However, some of them did not even know about it. The appointment system was a failure, as many people returned without having registered or cast their vote, as they were late and were turned away.

59. Electoral legislation gave the Election Council the authority to oblige voters to make appointments, but gave exception where the number was too high to manage. Many people were not

---

allowed to vote if they missed their appointment time. The board had earlier rejected the ruling AK Party's appeal for appointment-free dates for voters.

60. In general, the organisation of diaspora voting was very expensive. The costs involved for one vote cast overseas was 38 times higher than a single vote in Turkey itself due to the low turnout. A vote abroad cost the state on average almost US$140, while the cost in Turkey was less than US$4. Turkey had allocated more than US$30 million for diaspora voting.13

61. To my mind, although voting in person is the most common way for diaspora participation, proxy and postal voting are other methods which enable overseas citizens to cast their ballots, and are used by more than 60 countries. Voting through internet is also used in some countries. To facilitate the voting process, Turkey can consider the introduction of these forms of diaspora voting in the future.

3. National policies towards diaspora involvement in political life

3.1. Governmental policies and strategies in the countries of origin

3.1.1. Dual citizenship

62. There are several reasons why the countries of origin are interested in defining governmental policies and strategies towards diasporas. Above all, in a time of transnational population movement, it is crucial to keep a link with migrant communities, especially, if they can exercise their right to vote.

63. One of the ways to keep diaspora members active in their country of origin is to allow them the possibility of dual citizenship. More and more countries are following this practice.

64. At the same time, some countries of origin are very reticent to follow this practice, in part because they fear how overseas votes could significantly influence election results.

65. The introduction of dual citizenship in Morocco, for example, allows migrants to be influential in the social, cultural and political transformation of Moroccan society. In the 1970s and 80s, migrants became so inspired by the European values of justice, transparency and freedom, that they then appropriated these values as universal, using them to develop the remote and rural areas of Morocco where the state was not active. The Moroccan diaspora furthermore acts as a pressure group, which challenges both society and the state to modernize institutional and cultural practices, reform domestic laws, such as gender relations, reduce the economic gaps between the city and the country, and promote education among women and the poor.

66. In Serbia, dual citizenship is a very positive practice, in particular for the Serbs in the region. Their children can go to universities in Serbia and they can travel around the world with a Serbian passport. The national minorities in Serbia are also allowed dual citizenship which allows them to have better contact with their country of origin.

67. Another way of involving diasporas is to legalise their status. Having an important number of migrants (around 6 million), Turkey introduced a special ID “Blue Card” system for its diaspora members from the countries, where dual citizenship is not permitted, or, in cases in which a person had renounced their Turkish citizenship. It serves as a residence and work permit in Turkey and allows them to buy a property.

68. Having said that, I would like to warn against the manipulation by some States of the status of dual citizenship for their own political interests. The dual citizenship status should not be used for promoting expansionist policies and violating the sovereignty of states.

3.1.2. Governmental institutions

69. To respond to the needs of diaspora the countries of origin also adopt governmental policies and create special institutions in charge of the relations with diaspora (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia,
Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Russian Federation and Turkey). Those policies are aimed at engaging the diaspora abroad and maintaining regular contacts with diaspora communities.

70. For the implementation of such policies the countries create special governmental institutions and in some cases even appoint senior ministers (Armenia, Georgia, Serbia and Turkey) or junior ministers (France, Portugal) in charge of diaspora policies. There are also intergovernmental and parliamentary committees on diaspora, which coordinate the work on diaspora involvement at executive and legislative levels.

71. Armenia has one of the largest diaspora communities (7.5 million diaspora population, while the population living in Armenia is only 2.5 million). The Armenian diaspora is spread over more than 100 countries around the world with an established model system of governmental policy towards diaspora. It is coordinated by the Ministry of Diaspora and includes a number of mechanisms in strengthening the collaboration with diaspora. Among them is the “Hayastan” All-Armenian Fund, headed by the President of the Republic of Armenia, which coordinates the financial assistance of diaspora to Armenia. Once every three years the Ministry organises the Armenia-Diaspora Conferences to discuss issues of national concern, as well as the cultural festivals and Pan-Armenian athletic games.

72. Diplomatic representation abroad also plays an important role in keeping relations with diaspora representative. Some European countries have introduced a position of diaspora counsellor in their embassies and consular departments. They provide legal assistance and facilitate the establishment of business and cultural contacts with their countries. In recent years, with increased migration movements, many migrants have addressed issues related to their status, administrative procedures, and welfare support to their diplomatic representatives. However, the embassies and consulates do not always have qualified staff to respond to these demands. Therefore, the countries of origin should strengthen their diplomatic representations with staff trained to provide assistance to diaspora members.

73. The countries of origin should pay a special attention to diaspora engagement policies. In the absence of diaspora engagement programmes and policies in the country of origin, second and third generation migrants may feel as alienated as their parents or grandparents were in Europe in the 1960s and 70s. The difficulty of their engagement deprives them of serving their country of origin although their qualifications and professional experience are needed.

3.1.3. Involvement of diaspora communities

74. No governmental policy in support of diasporas can be successful without direct involvement of the diaspora community in the policy planning process. Diaspora representatives can be involved in an individual capacity as experts, but such involvement can also take the form of diaspora councils. Several European countries established such consultative bodies involving the elected representatives of different diaspora communities.

75. The countries of origin are also interested in developing diaspora lobbying abroad to support their political agenda. With this aim they support diaspora organisations, encourage diaspora voting rights in the host country and organise diaspora forums.

76. In 2011, the Parliament of Malta adopted a law establishing the Council for Maltese People Living Abroad. The recommendations of this council are implemented by the executive institution. Estonia has also a Council of Expatriates. In this regard, Morocco also provides an interesting example. In 1990, under the patronage of King Hassan II, the Foundation for Moroccans Living Abroad was created to promote economic and cultural cooperation with the diaspora and to support them in Morocco. This Foundation in cooperation with IOM established an Observatory of the Moroccan Community Living Abroad (EOMC). It offers an information system for the government on migration management issues.

77. The “Law on Diaspora and Serbs in the Region” adopted in Serbia in 2009, established a Diaspora Assembly, which comprises 45 delegated members from different diaspora communities. This Assembly is the highest organ of diaspora and its main task is to identify problems of diaspora
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and to develop strategies to solve them. It also establishes and appoints representatives to different diaspora councils: the Economic Council, the Status Council, and the Council for Culture, Education, Science and Sports.

78. Organisation of diaspora forums and conventions is another important way of reaching Diasporas. Armenia, Malta, Ukraine, and the Russian Federation organise such forums on a regular basis. In 2013, Ireland hosted the inaugural European version of the Global Diaspora Forum in Dún Laoghaire, Dublin.

79. Diaspora members can also exercise their political influence through financial support to some political forces. This occurred in 1990 in Croatia, when Croatian diaspora donated 4 million dollars to support the electoral campaign of Franjo Tudjman. In return, they received 12 of the 120 seats in the Croatian Parliament. In my view, such steps can become highly problematic and should be avoided in democratic society, where money should not influence votes.

80. Some European countries have highlighted their interest in the return of highly skilled diaspora members in their policies. The Russian Federation, for example adopted the State programme to assist Voluntary Resettlement of Compatriots Living Abroad to the Russian Federation. The aim of this programme is not only to attract skilled professionals, but also to improve the national demographic situation.

81. Since 2011, a lot of progress has been made by the Serbian Ministry of Education in developing and implementing a special programme for the primary schooling of the Serbian language in countries abroad. Since then, 3,685 pupils all over the world have participated in this programme. There is also a programme for diaspora students to enrol in Serbian universities: 2% of seats in all universities are reserved free of charge to diaspora students. A separate scholarship was established by “Serbia for Serbs in the Region”, providing scholarships for young people belonging to the Serbian ethnic communities in the countries of the region. A total of 40 scholarships were allocated in the academic year 2012/13.

82. It is clear, that the efficiency of governmental policies on diaspora involvement in the political life of countries of origin depends on the availability of executive bodies and financial resources for their implementation. Diasporas should be actively involved in the policy planning process to ensure that their concerns are included in state policy. Their intellectual and financial capacity could be of benefit for the implementation of certain governmental programmes. Countries of origin could also promote diaspora involvement, providing them with double nationality or special legal status and facilitating their free movement and economic activity.

3.2. Responses in the countries of residence

83. As a general rule, countries of residence do not develop specific policies towards different diaspora communities. They rather consider diaspora members as migrants and include them in social inclusion or migrant integration policies.

84. However, countries, which have achieved success in their migrant integration policies realise that diaspora involvement can be profitable for both countries of origin and residence. Countries such as Luxembourg, Switzerland, Portugal, and Italy consider diasporas partners in promotion of cooperation with the countries of origin.

85. My country, Italy, even created an office of the Minister for Integration, which works directly with different diaspora associations. Italy considers diaspora organisations partners in the migrant integration process. On the other hand, Turkey refers to integration policy as “an active participation of diaspora in the academic, social, cultural, economic and financial life of the country they live in.”

86. Diaspora communities can also be involved with their countries of residence in the promotion of project development for their respective countries of origin. Countries such as France, Germany, the

---
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United Kingdom, and Switzerland involve diaspora communities with business structures working on development projects.

87. Some countries of origin develop a partnership with countries of residence to promote better worker mobility and to encourage the return of skilled workers (Portugal/Ukraine, Austria/Bosnia and Herzegovina). Development agencies of countries of residence work very closely with diaspora associations on different projects in their home countries. The Swiss Agency for Development Cooperation has launched several projects with different countries on the potential of diasporas’ contribution to the development of the countries of origin. The development agencies of Denmark, Sweden, Finland, and Norway support activities of diasporas in specific professional sectors or regions.

88. In my view, countries of residence will benefit if they involve diasporas in their migrant integration policies and utilise their contacts with diasporas’ countries of origin.

3.3. Activities of diaspora organisations

89. Diaspora representatives organise themselves in various forms including: religious communities, schools, migrants associations, charitable foundations, cultural clubs, but also, branches of political parties, NGOs, virtual networks, and investment groups.

90. Very often the organisations of migrants who fled from their country because of the political regime remain hostile towards the governments of their countries of origin, even after political changes have taken place.

91. The role of diaspora organisations is becoming increasingly important in the political life of same countries of origin and residence. Their activities aimed at helping their countries of origin in economic and democratic development helps protect their rights as a minority group in the country of residence and express their cultural identity. They also contribute to the development of bilateral relations between the countries of origin and destination. If the situation in their country of origin is still hostile, the diaspora organisations serve as an international sounding board to voice their concerns about human rights and political freedoms.

92. With regard to the involvement of diaspora organisations in political participation in their countries of origin and residence, their main lobbying efforts are directed at the issues of citizenship, migrations status, and voting rights. They also express their concerns on the issues of human rights, good governance and democratic choice.

93. Diaspora organisations also act as supporters and promoters of protest movements in their countries of origin, which are often not welcome in the countries of origin. In December 2013, Ukrainian diaspora organisations all over the world supported peaceful demonstrations in Ukraine for a partnership with the European Union and democratic values.

94. This year, during the floods in May 2014 in Serbia, we witnessed an active response from Serbian diaspora to the appeal of the Serbian government for help to provide aid for the reconstruction of affected regions. Serbian diaspora representatives sent money, food, clothes, shoes and medicines to affected areas in Serbia from all over the world. According to the Ministry of Finance, almost 700,000 euro was collected through PayPal accounts and more than 27 million euro has been donated.

95. The development of new communication technologies offers new possibilities for connections between different diaspora organisations. Such organisations actively use e-communication to promote their political views and distribute information on their activities.

96. In some diaspora communities there is still a lack of cooperation between “old” diaspora organisations and newly arrived migrants organisations. I believe that this cooperation could be mutually beneficial. Well established diaspora groups could help migrants in their integration into the
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host society, and newly arrived migrants or refugees could share their knowledge of political and cultural developments in the country of origin.

97. My conclusion is that diaspora organisations are very diverse and have the ability to play many roles, but with the assistance of new technologies they become more and more politically influential, both in their home countries and in host societies.

3.4. Role of local authorities in diaspora involvement

98. Local authorities are the first to be responsible for the involvement of diaspora representatives who are not citizens in the host country in local political life.

99. In 1992, the Council of Europe adopted the Convention on the Participation of Foreigners in Public Life at Local Level which has already been mentioned. This convention not only suggests giving foreign residents the right to vote and stand in local authority elections after 5 years of residency preceding the election, but also proposes a series of other measures, including the setting up of consultative bodies which can be used by local authorities to encourage the participation of foreigners in local governance and decision making.

100. So far only 8 countries have ratified this convention. I would like to call on all member States of the Council of Europe who have not yet done so to sign and ratify this convention.

101. The integration of migrant diaspora members in the host countries is impossible without their democratic involvement in elections, at least at the local level. Unfortunately, few European states give priority to this issue. In my opinion, the right to vote at local level is a key precondition of migrant diaspora participation in the political life of the host country.

102. I also consider it important that local authorities in regions with settled diasporas elaborate strategies and propose forms of cooperation, to engage with diasporas for their mutual benefit.

4. International initiatives on migrant diaspora

103. Several international initiatives have been developed by international organisations, such as the International Organisation for Migration (IOM), the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), and the European Union with the aim of formulating policy recommendations on the involvement of diaspora in political and economic life.

104. Within the framework of international dialogue on migration, the International Organisation for Migration organised a Diaspora Ministerial Conference in June 2013, involving around 500 participants including more than 55 ministers. In response to fast-growing interest of governments in diaspora issues, this conference took stock of various governmental diaspora policies, programmes, and initiatives, and identified and shared the best and most innovative practices in relation to diasporas. The IOM also implemented several External Voting Programmes in different countries, including Bosnia and Herzegovina and Kosovo.*

105. As a result of the joint initiative between the OECD and the French Cooperation Agency statistical research on diasporas was published in 2012. This research contains information from 140 countries on migrant populations and diaspora sizes and can be used by policy makers to develop public policy on involvement of diasporas in development.

106. The European Parliament is also interested in diaspora related policies and organised a seminar in September 2012 on “Diaspora: the Case for an EU Policy”. As a conclusion of this seminar, it was recommended to engage other EU institutions on diaspora as a theme of policy, particularly the European Commission.
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107. At the level of the European Commission, diaspora related issues are mainstreamed into the migration and development dialogues with partner countries in the context of the Prague process, the EU-Africa Migration, Mobility and Employment Partnership, the EU-ACP (African, Caribbean and Pacific countries) dialogue and the EU-LAC (Latin American Countries) migration dialogue.

108. It is my opinion, however, that there is a lack of a parliamentary dimension in these initiatives. Parliamentarians have an important role to play in shaping the policies in relation to diaspora in their countries. The Parliamentary Assembly could act as a platform for developing the dialogue between the parliamentarians who are interested in diaspora related issues. The Assembly could initiate the creation of a Parliamentary Network on Diaspora policies. I am convinced that it would have its added value to the Inter-Ministerial Dialogue on Diaspora launched by the IOM in June 2013. This network could be developed in close collaboration with IOM and the European Union using their expertise and resources for the development of broad consultations and sharing experiences between parliamentarians, experts and diaspora community.

109. Cultural and political rights of the diaspora groups must be recognized and protected by international organizations such as the UN, UNESCO and the IOM.

5. Conclusions and recommendations

110. Diasporas has started to play a more prominent role in today’s politics. The contributions of diasporas in the development of their countries of origin, as well as their active participation in the promotion of an intercultural society in the receiving countries, requires the elaboration of adequate governmental strategies and international dialogue.

111. The diasporas’ numerous accomplishments must be seen as success stories of double integration. Success stories, which encourage both the countries of origin and destination to view migration, not as a problem, but as a common ground, offering tremendous opportunities for dialogue between different countries and cultures.

112. Members of the diaspora need to get organised as distinct communities with specific problems and demands if they want to exert pressure on the political parties in their countries of residence and origin.

113. Diaspora members should not only seek voting rights but also opportunities to present their own candidates in local, legislative, and regional elections.

114. The diaspora’s political demands are well received at home because they are considered “native demands” rather than intrusions from the outside. The governments of the member States of the Council of Europe can work with diaspora associations as partners for democracy and human rights.

115. While most of the world constitutions have been written in the context of native citizenship, loyalty, and sovereignty, amendments have to be made to take into account the increasing number of diaspora citizens living across borders and their impact on the promotion of plural social, cultural and political values which characterise the contemporary world.

116. Governments have to play a key role in engaging Diasporas in decision-making policies, developing collaboration between governmental institutions and formulating recommendations in drafting diaspora-oriented programmes to ensure economic, social and cultural development.

117. The media has a major role to play in the promotion of political and cultural diversity both within and across nations. To counter the stereotypes of migrants as victims or criminals, TV, newspapers, cinema and electronic media should give them serious opportunities to introduce themselves as international success stories, an economic and intellectual potential which benefits both their country of residence and their country of origin.

118. In addition to media, school remains one of the basic institutions for promoting pluralism and diversity at an early age. Students at primary, secondary, or graduate levels must be exposed to the scientific, literary, and political achievements by diaspora across history.
## Appendix 1: Comparative table of diasporas vote in the countries of origin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council of Europe member states</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Election type</th>
<th>Voting method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andorra</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Personal, Postal, Proxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Sub-national</td>
<td>Personal, Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, European Parliamentary elections</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Legislative, Referendums, Sub-national</td>
<td>Personal, Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Legislative, Referendums, European Parliamentary elections</td>
<td>Personal, Postal, E-voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Sub-national</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Referendums, Some seats in Senate</td>
<td>Personal, Postal, E-voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Referendums, Sub-national</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Referendums, Sub-national</td>
<td>Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Personal, Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liechtenstein</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Legislative, Referendums, Sub-national</td>
<td>Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Personal, Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Legislative, Referendums, Sub-national, European Parliamentary elections</td>
<td>Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Moldova</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Personal, Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monaco</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Proxy, Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Legislative, Sub-national</td>
<td>Personal, Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Referendums, European Parliamentary elections</td>
<td>Personal, Postal, Proxy, Fax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Personal, Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Sub-national, Referendums</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Personal, Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Personal, Postal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Personal, Postal, Proxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Legislative, Sub-national, Referendums</td>
<td>Personal, Postal, E-voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
<td>No external voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Presidential, Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Legislative, Referendums</td>
<td>Postal, Proxy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Institute for Democratic and Electoral assistance (IDEA), Database: Voting from abroad (Updated, 6 Dec. 2013)